arXiv:0804.0242v2 [math.GT] 25 Oct 2009

Disk single Hurwitz numbers.

S.M. Natanzon

It is devoted to memory of I.M. Gelfand

1. Introduction.

The classical Hurwitz numbers are weighted numbers of coverings over a compact surface with prescribed types of critical values [5]. For present the full definition let us consider coverings with ramifications $\varphi : \Omega \to S$ over compact surface S of genus g by surfaces Ω. We consider that two coverings φ and $\varphi' : \Omega' \to S$ are equivalents if there exists a homeomorphism $f : \Omega \to \Omega'$ such that $\varphi' f = \varphi$. Denote by $\mathsf{Aut}(\varphi)$ the group of autoequivalence of φ and by $|\mathsf{Aut}(\varphi)|$ its order.

The covering φ is equivalent to a covering $z \mapsto z^{n(y)}$ on a neighborhood of any point $y \in \Omega$. Consider formal variables $\{a_i\}$. The monomial $a_{n(y_1)}...a_{n(y_1)}$, where $\varphi^{-1}(x) = \{y_1, ..., y_k\}$, is called *type of the value* $x \in S$. A value of a type $a_2 a_1^k$ is called *simple.*

Fix now points $x_1, \dots, x_v \in S$. Fix also monomials $a^1, \dots, a^v$. *The (classical) Hurwitz number* is the sum $< a^1, .., a^v >_g = \sum \frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(\varphi)|}$, by all equivalent classes of coverings, that have critical values of types $a^1, \dots, a^v$ in the points $x_1, \dots, x_v$ and have not other critical values. A Hurwitz number $< a >^m = < a, a_2 a_1^k, .., a_2 a_1^k >_0$, where $m+1$ is the number of critical value is called *classical single Hurwitz number.* The classical single Hurwitz number connect with the intersection theory on the moduli space of complex algebraic curves [4].

Correspond variables p_i to variables a_i and correspond monomials $p_a = p_{i_1}...p_{i_r}$ to monomials $a = a_{i_1}...a_{i_r}$. Generating function for classical single Hurwitz number is $\Phi(\lambda, p_1, p_2, ...) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\lambda^m}{m!} \sum_a < a >^m p_a$, where second sum is the sum by all monomials. According to [3], it satisfy to the "cut-and-join" differential equation

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \lambda} = L_\lambda \Phi, \quad L_\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} (i+j) p_i p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i+j}} + \sum_{ij} ij p_{i+j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i \partial p_j}.$$

This is a corollary from the fact that classical Hurwitz number generate a closed topological field theory [2]. The "cut-and-join" equation has some importent properties. It is in particulary a differential equation for generating function of Hodge integrals [6].

A definition of Hurwitz numbers for surfaces with boundary and non-orientable surfaces where suggested in [1]. In preset paper I define and investigate *disc single Hurwitz numbers* They correspond to covering of disk with single non-simple boundary critical value. It is found, in particulary, recursive equations defining all disk single Hurwitz numbers.

Generating function H for disc single Hurwitz numbers depend from complex parameters α, β (analog of λ from classical situation) and 4 infinite series of variables $\acute{p}_i, \grave{p}_i, \bar{p}_i, \dot{p}_i$, describing topological type of non-simple critical value (analog of p_i from classical situation). An analog of "cut-and-join" differential equation $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \lambda} = L_\lambda \Phi$ is 2 differential equation, corresponding to interior and boundary simple critical values.

These differential equation follow, from the fact, that Hurwitz numbers for surfaces with boundary form a open-closed (non-commutative) topological field theory [1].

2. HURWITZ NUMBERS.

Let D be a closed disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} || z| \leq 1\}$ with oriented boundary ∂D. We will be consider coverings with ramification $\varphi : \Omega \to D$ of degree k, where Ω is a compact surface with a boundary $\partial\Omega$.

Preimage $\varphi^{-1}(x)$ of an interior point $x \in D^\circ = D \setminus \partial D$ consists of $n = n(x) \leq k$ points. Consider a small simple contour $r \in D^\circ$, around x. Its preimage $\varphi^{-1}(r)$ form simple contours $C_1, ..., C_n \in \Omega^\circ$. The set of degrees of restrictions $(\deg(\varphi|_{C_1}), ..., \deg(\varphi|_{C_n}))$ is called *(topological) type of interior value* $x \in D^\circ$. Correspond the monomial $a_1^{t_1} \cdots a_k^{t_k}$ to this topological type. Here a_i are commutated formal variables and t_i is the number of indexes j such that $\deg(\varphi|_{C_j}) = i$ and $a_i^0 = 1$.

Values of the type a_1^k are called non-critical. All other interior values are called *interior critical values*. Any covering has only finite number of interior critical values. Interior critical values of the type $a_1^{k-1}a_2$ are called *simple*.

A preimage $\varphi^{-1}(y)$ of boundary point $y \in \partial D$ also consists of $n = n(y) \leq k$ points. Consider a simple small interval $l \subset D$ around y with ends ∂D. The preimage $\varphi^{-1}(l) \subset \Omega$ form a graph with k edges. The vertexes of the graph form two groups that correspond to two ends of l. For convenience, we will call one of the ends and the groups "left" and other "right", considering that the moving on ∂D from left to right via y correspond to the orientation of ∂D.

The bipartite graph $\varphi^{-1}(l)$ is called *(topological) type of boundary critical value* $y \in \partial D$ [1]. The valency of any vertex of it is not more that 2. Thus connected components of $\varphi^{-1}(l)$ belong to one of the next type.

- $\acute{\mathsf{b}}_i$ is a graph with i left and $i+1$ right vertexes;
- $\grave{\mathsf{b}}_i$ is a graph with $i+1$ left and i right vertexes;
- $\bar{\mathsf{b}}_i$ is a graph with i left and i right vertexes;
- $\dot{\mathsf{b}}_i$ is a closed graph with i left and i right vertexes.

Correspond to the graphes $\acute{\mathsf{b}}_i, \bar{\mathsf{b}}_i, \grave{\mathsf{b}}_i, \dot{\mathsf{b}}_i$ commutative formal variables $\acute{b}_i, \bar{b}_i, \grave{b}_i, \dot{b}_i$. Correspond the monomial to join of graphs. Thus a topological of any boundary value is a monomial $b = \acute{b}_1^{\acute{s}_1} \cdots \acute{b}_{\acute{n}}^{\acute{s}_{\acute{n}}} \grave{b}_1^{\grave{s}_1} \cdots \grave{b}_{\grave{n}}^{\grave{s}_{\grave{n}}} \bar{b}_1^{\bar{s}_1} \cdots \bar{b}_{\bar{n}}^{\bar{s}_{\bar{n}}} \dot{b}_1^{\dot{s}_1} \cdots \dot{b}_{\dot{n}}^{\dot{s}_{\dot{n}}}$, where $k = \sum_{i=1}^{\acute{n}} 2\acute{s}+ \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{n}}(2\bar{s}_i - 1)+$ $\sum_{i=1}^{\grave{n}} 2\grave{s}_i+ \sum_{i=1}^{\dot{n}} 2\dot{s}_i$. Denote by $\mathsf{Aut}(b)$ the group of automorphisms of the graph that correspond to the monomial b. Let $|\mathsf{Aut}(b)|$ be the order of the group.

The changing of the order of the vertexes generates the involution $b \mapsto b^*$ on the set of monomials. In particulary $\acute{b}_i^{\,*} = \grave{b}_i$, $\bar{b}_i^{\,*} = \bar{b}_i$, $\grave{b}_i^{\,*} = \acute{b}_i$, $\dot{b}_i^{\,*} = \dot{b}_i$

The values of types $\bar{b}^{\bar{s}}\dot{b}^{\dot{s}}$ are called non-critical. All other boundary values are called *boundary critical values*. Any covering has only finite number of boundary critical values. Boundary critical values of the type $\acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{n}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{n}}$ and $\grave{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{n}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{n}}$ are called *simple boundary critical values*. We call critical values of types $\acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{n}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{n}}$ and $\grave{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{n}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{n}}$ as *acute -points* and *grave-points* respectively.

Covering $\varphi_1 : \Omega_1 \to D$ ans $\varphi_2 : \Omega_2 \to D$ a called equivalent if there exists a homeomorphism $\phi : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ such that $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2\phi$. The automorphisms groups $\mathsf{Aut}(\varphi_i)$ of equivalent coverings are isomorphic. Denote by $|\mathsf{Aut}(\varphi_i)|$ the order of its.

Fix interior points $x_1, \ldots, x_v \in D \setminus \partial D$ and boundary points $y_1, \ldots, y_w \in \partial D$ on a disk D. We consider that the numeration of the boundary points is convenient with the orientation of ∂D. Fix monomials $a^1, \ldots, a^v$ from the variable a_i and monomials

$b^1, \dots, b^w$ from the variable $\acute{b}_i, \bar{b}_i, \grave{b}_i, \dot{b}_i$. The number $< a^1, .., a^v, (b^1, .., b^w) > \sum \frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(\varphi)|}$ is called *Hurwitz number*. Here the sum is taken by all equivalent classes of coverings, having critical values in points $x_1, \dots, x_v$, $y_1, \dots, y_w$ of types $a^1, \dots, a^v$,$b^1, \dots, b^w$ and have not other critical values. The Hurwitz number don't depend from position of critical points. It is kept by any permutation of a^i cyclic permutation of b^i. Denote by $\{F^{pq}\}$ the the inverse matrix to $< (\beta_p, \beta_q) >$, where $\{\beta_p\}$ is the set of all connected graphs.

Lemma 2.1. *If* $< (c, b) > \neq 0$, *then* $c = b^*$ *and* $< (c, b) >= \frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(b)|}$.

If $< (c, \acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{m}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{m}}, b) > \neq 0$, *then this is one from the next cases*

- $< (\dot{b}_i d^*, \acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{m}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{m}}, \grave{b}_i d) >= \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$;
- $< (\bar{b}_{i+j} d^*, \acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{m}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{m}}, \bar{b}_i\grave{b}_j d) >= \frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$;
- $< (\acute{b}_{i+j} d^*, \acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{m}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{m}}, \grave{b}_i\grave{b}_j d) >= (1 - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{2})\frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$;
- $< (\grave{b}_{i+j-1} d^*, \acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{m}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{m}}, \bar{b}_i\bar{b}_j d) >= (1 - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{2})\frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$.

If $< (c, \grave{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{m}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{m}}, b) > \neq 0$, *then this is one from the next cases*

- $< (\acute{b}_i d, \grave{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{m}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{m}}, \dot{b}_i d^*) >= \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$;
- $< (\bar{b}_i\acute{b}_j d, \grave{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{m}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{m}}, \bar{b}_{i+j} d^*) >= \frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$;
- $< (\acute{b}_i\acute{b}_j d, \grave{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{m}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{m}}, \grave{b}_{i+j} d^*) >= (1 - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{2})\frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$;
- $< (\bar{b}_i\bar{b}_j d, \grave{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{m}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{m}}, \acute{b}_{i+j-1} d^*) >= (1 - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{2})\frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$.

Proof. The first statement is evident. Let $< (c, \acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{m}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{m}}, b) > \neq 0$. Then there exists a covering $\varphi : \Omega \to D$ with boundary critical values $y_1, y_2, y_3 \in \partial D$ of types $c, \acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{m}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{m}}, b$ (respectively) and without other critical values. Let us consider points $z_1 \in (y_1, y_2)$ and $z_2 \in (y_2, y_3)$. The preimage of z_1 consists of $\bar{m}$ simple points, where φ is local homeomorphism, and $\dot{m} + 1$ double points where φ is local two-sheeted. The preimage of z_2 consists of $\bar{m} + 2$ simple points and $\dot{m}$ double points.

Let $p_1, p_2 \in \varphi^{-1}(z_2)$ be simple points, corresponding to $\acute{b}_1$. They come to simple points q_1, q_2 of b. Then we have one from the next cases

- q_1 and q_2 belong to one connected component of type $\grave{\mathsf{b}}_i$;
- q_1 and q_2 belong to connected components of types $\bar{\mathsf{b}}_i$ and $\grave{\mathsf{b}}_j$;
- q_1 and q_2 belong to different connected components of types $\bar{\mathsf{b}}_i$ and $\bar{\mathsf{b}}_j$;
- q_1 and q_2 belong to different connected components of types $\grave{\mathsf{b}}_i$ and $\grave{\mathsf{b}}_j$.

In the first case $b = \grave{b}_i d$. Let us consider the restriction $\varphi' : \Omega' D$ of φ on the connected component, containing the points q_1, q_2. Consider the restriction $\varphi'' : \Omega'' D$ of φ on the complement $\Omega'' = \Omega \setminus \Omega'$. The covering φ'' has the critical values $y_1, y_3 \in \partial D$ of the types d^*, d. Therefore φ' has critical values $y_1, y_2, y_3 \in \partial D$ of types $\dot{b}_i, \acute{b}_1 e, \grave{b}_i$. Thus φ has critical values $y_1, y_2, y_3 \in \partial D$ of types $\dot{b}_i d^*, \acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{m}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{m}}, \grave{b}_i d$.

The equivalent class of φ' (respectively φ'') contain of all coverings that have the same types of critical values as φ' (respectively φ''). Moreover, $\mathsf{Aut}(\varphi) = \mathsf{Aut}(\varphi') \times \mathsf{Aut}(\varphi'')$. Thus $< (\dot{b}_i d^*, \acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{m}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{m}}, \grave{b}_i d) >= \frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(\varphi)|} = \frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(\varphi')|}\frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(\varphi'')|} = \frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(\varphi')|}\frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$. The group $\mathsf{Aut}(\varphi')$ is generated by involution that transpose the points p_1 and p_2. Thus $< (\dot{b}_i d^*, \acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{m}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{m}}, \grave{b}_i d) >= \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$.

Analogical arguments prove other cases. The main difference consists of properties of $\mathsf{Aut}(\varphi')$. This group is non trivial only for $i = j$ in the last two cases.

Changing of orientation of the boundary of the disk we found that $< (a,b,c) >=< (c^*,b^*,a^*) >$. Thus the second statement of the lemma follow from the first.

□

We denote graphs $\acute{b}_i$ and $\grave{b}_i$ by $\hat{b}_i$ in situations when differences between them are not important. Denote by $|b|$ and call length the number of edges in connected graph b. In particulary, $|\bar{b}_i| = 2i-1$ $|\hat{b}_i| = |\acute{b}_i| = |\grave{b}_i| = 2i$. Denote by $|b|$ the minimum of lengths of connected components of arbitrary graph b.

Lemma 2.2. *If* $< a_1^m a_2, (c,b) >\neq 0$*, then this is one from the next cases*

- $< a_1^m a_2, (\dot{b}_i\dot{b}_j d^*, \dot{b}_{i+j} d) >= (1-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{2})\frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$;
- $< a_1^m a_2, (\dot{b}_i\bar{b}_j d^*, \bar{b}_{i+j} d) >= \frac{|\bar{b}_j|}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$;
- $< a_1^m a_2, (\dot{b}_i\grave{b}_j d^*, \acute{b}_{i+j} d) >= \frac{|\hat{b}_j|}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$;
- $< a_1^m a_2, (\dot{b}_i\acute{b}_j d^*, \grave{b}_{i+j} d) >= \frac{|\hat{b}_j|}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$;
- $< a_1^m a_2, (\bar{b}_i\grave{b}_j d^*, \bar{b}_k\acute{b}_l d) >= \delta_{(i+j)(k+l)}\frac{|\bar{b}_i\hat{b}_j\bar{b}_k\hat{b}_l|}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$;
- $< a_1^m a_2, (\acute{b}_i\grave{b}_j d^*, \bar{b}_k\bar{b}_l d) >= \delta_{(i+j+1)(k+l)}\frac{|\hat{b}_i\hat{b}_j\bar{b}_k\bar{b}_l|}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$;
- $< a_1^m a_2, (\grave{b}_i\grave{b}_j d^*, \acute{b}_k\acute{b}_l d) >= (1-\frac{\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}}{2})\delta_{(i+j)(k+l)}\frac{|\hat{b}_i\hat{b}_j\hat{b}_k\hat{b}_l|}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$;
- $< a_1^m a_2, (\bar{b}_i\bar{b}_j d^*, \bar{b}_k\bar{b}_l d) >= (1-\frac{\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}}{2})\delta_{(i+j)(k+l)}\frac{|\bar{b}_i\bar{b}_j\bar{b}_k\bar{b}_l|}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$,

Proof. Let $< a_1^m a_2, (c,b) >\neq 0$. Then there exists a covering $\varphi : \Omega \to D$ with boundary critical values $x, y_1, y_2 \in \partial D$ of types $a_1^m a_2, c, b$ (respectively) and without other critical values. Let us consider a segment $l \subset \partial D$, connecting y_1 and y_2 by x. Consider also a simple small interval $l_i \subset D$ near y_i with ends on $\partial D \setminus (\partial D \cap U)$. Then the graph $b_i = \varphi^{-1}(l_i)$ is the topological type of y_i.

Consider a homotopy$\varphi : [1,2] \to D$ between l_1 and l_2 such that $\varphi(t') \cap \varphi(t'') = \emptyset$ for $t' \neq t''$. Preimage $\varphi^{-1}(x_t)$ of $x_t = \varphi(t) \cap l$ consists of $\deg\varphi$ points if $x_t \neq x$ and consists of$\deg\varphi - 1$ points if $x_t = x$. This describe the reconstruction of the graph $b_t = \varphi^{-1}(l_t)$ in the moment, when $x_t \neq x$. The same reconstruction map the graph b_1 to the graph b_2^*. This consists of a cutting of 2 edges of b_1 and the gluing of its with the changing. For realization of this reconstruction by a covering $\varphi : \Omega \to D$ it is necessary that the orientation of the edges, that appear from order of vertexes, are generated by an orientation of ∂D. All pears of graphs b_1, b_2 of such type and its reconstructions are presented in lemma 2.2. This gives also and corresponding Hurwitz numbers.

□

3. Disk single Hurwitz numbers.

We will be say that a boundary critical values p' of a covering φ precedes a boundary critical values p'' of φ if the orientation of ∂D generate the orientation of (p', p'') from p' to p'' and (p', p'') does not contain any critical values.

Denote by $\mathcal{H}(m, \hat{m}, b)$ the sen of equivalent classe of covering with m interior simple critical values, with $\hat{m}$ boundary simple critical values and with single non obviously simple critical value of type b (that is called *special*). The set $\mathcal{H}(m, \hat{m}, b)$ decompose into subsets $\mathcal{H}(m, \acute{m}, \grave{m}, b)$, that consists of coverings with m interior critical values, $\acute{m}$ acute-points, $\grave{m}$ grave-points. The set $\mathcal{H}(m, \acute{m}, \grave{m}, b)$ decompose into 2 subsets $\acute{\mathcal{H}}(m, \acute{m}, \grave{m}, b)$

and $\grave{\mathcal{H}}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b)$. The first (respectively second) consists of covering where a acute-point (respectively a grave-point) precedes the special critical value.

Denote by $\mathrm{H}(m,\hat{m},b)$ (respectively $\mathrm{H}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b)$, $\acute{\mathrm{H}}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b)$, $\grave{\mathrm{H}}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b)$) the sum of Hurwitz numbers from $\mathcal{H}(m,\hat{m},b)$ (respectively $\mathcal{H}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b)$, $\acute{\mathcal{H}}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b)$, $\grave{\mathcal{H}}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b)$). Extend the definitions of the numbers $\mathrm{H}(m,\hat{m},b)$, $\mathrm{H}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b)$, $\acute{\mathrm{H}}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b)$ and $\grave{\mathrm{H}}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b)$ on quotients of monomials $b=\frac{b^1}{b^2}$. Here we consider that these numbers are 0, if b is not monomial. The number $\mathrm{H}(m,\hat{m},b)$ is called a *disk single Hurwitz number.*

Example 3.1. *If* $\mathrm{H}(0,0,b)>0$*, then* $b=\bar{b}_1^{\bar{s}_1}\cdots\bar{b}_{\bar{n}}^{\bar{s}_{\bar{n}}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{s}_1}\cdots\dot{b}_{\dot{n}}^{\dot{s}_{\dot{n}}}$ *and* $\mathrm{H}(0,0,b)=\prod_{i=1}^{\bar{n}}\frac{1}{\bar{s}_i!}\prod_{i=1}^{\dot{n}}\frac{1}{i\dot{s}_i!}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $b=\acute{b}_1^{\acute{s}_1}\ldots\acute{b}_{\acute{n}}^{\acute{s}_{\acute{n}}}\grave{b}_1^{\grave{s}_1}\ldots\grave{b}_{\grave{n}}^{\grave{s}_{\grave{n}}}\bar{b}_1^{\bar{s}_1}\ldots\bar{b}_{\bar{n}}^{\bar{s}_{\bar{n}}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{s}_1}\ldots\dot{b}_{\dot{n}}^{\dot{s}_{\dot{n}}}$. *Then* $\acute{\mathrm{H}}(m,\acute{m}+1,\grave{m},b)=\sum\limits_i\frac{i}{2}(\dot{s}_i+1)\mathrm{H}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b\frac{\dot{b}_i}{\grave{b}_i})+\sum\limits_{ij}((\bar{s}_{i+j}+1)\mathrm{H}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b\frac{\bar{b}_{i+j}}{\bar{b}_i\grave{b}_j})+(\grave{s}_{i+j}+1)\mathrm{H}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b\frac{\grave{b}_{i+j}}{\grave{b}_i\grave{b}_j})+(\acute{s}_{i+j-1}+1)\mathrm{H}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b\frac{\acute{b}_{i+j-1}}{\bar{b}_i\bar{b}_j}))$.

Proof. Consider a covering $\varphi:\Omega\to D$ from $\acute{\mathcal{H}}(m,\acute{m}+1,\grave{m},b)$. Denote by y and y' the special point and the precedes of it critical boundary point respectively. Collapse to a point y_l a segment $l\in D$ with ends on ∂D, that separate the points y, y' from other critical values. Then we have two disks D' and D'' and two coverings $\varphi':\Omega'\to D'$, $\varphi'':\Omega''\to D''$. The critical values of φ' are y_l, y' and y. Comparing the disks D and D'' we see, that $\varphi''\in\mathcal{H}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},c)$ for some monomial c. Thus, according to [1], $\acute{H}(m,\acute{m}+1,\grave{m},b)=\sum\limits_{pq}H(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},\beta_p)>F^{pq}<(\beta_q,\acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{n}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{n}},b)>$, where $\{\beta_p\}$ is the set of all bipartite graphes, $\{F^{pq}\}$ is the invert matrix to $<(\beta_p,\beta_q)>$ and the sum is given by all pairs of bipartite graphes. It is follow from lemma 2.1, that $F^{pq}=\delta_{\beta_p,\beta_q^*}=|\mathsf{Aut}(\beta_p)|$. Moreover it is follow from lemma 2.1, that the sum in the right parte of decompose to 4 subsumes. These subsumes depend from forms of b and $\beta_q=\beta_p^*$.

Let $b=\grave{b}_i d$ and $\beta_q=\dot{b}_i d^*$. Then $|\mathsf{Aut}(\beta_p)|=|\mathsf{Aut}(\dot{b}_i d)|=\frac{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}{i^{\dot{s}_i}\dot{s}_i!}i^{\dot{s}_{i+1}}(\dot{s}_i+1)!=|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|i(\dot{s}_i+1)$. Moreover $<(\beta_q,\acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{n}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{n}},b)>=<(\dot{b}_i d^*,\acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{n}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{n}},\grave{b}_i d)>=\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$ according to lemma 2.1. Thus this subsume is $\sum\limits_i\frac{i}{2}(\dot{s}_i+1)\mathrm{H}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b\frac{\dot{b}_i}{\grave{b}_i})$ Let $b=\bar{b}_i\grave{b}_j d$ and $\beta_q=\bar{b}_{i+j}d^*$. Then $|\mathsf{Aut}(\beta_p)|=|\mathsf{Aut}(\bar{b}_{i+j}d)|=\frac{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}{\bar{s}_{i+j}!}(\bar{s}_{i+j}+1)!=|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|(\bar{s}_{i+j}+1)$. Moreover $<(\beta_q,\acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{n}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{n}},b)>=<(\bar{b}_{i+j}d^*,\acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{n}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{n}},\bar{b}_i\grave{b}_j d)>=\frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$. Thus this subsume is $\sum\limits_{ij}(\bar{s}_{i+j}+1)\mathrm{H}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b\frac{\bar{b}_{i+j}}{\bar{b}_i\grave{b}_j})$. Let $b=\grave{b}_i\grave{b}_j d$ and $\beta_q=\acute{b}_{i+j}d^*$. Then $|\mathsf{Aut}(\beta_p)|=|\mathsf{Aut}(\grave{b}_{i+j}d)|=\frac{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}{2^{\grave{s}_{i+j}}\grave{s}_{i+j}!}2^{(\grave{s}_{i+j}+1)}(\grave{s}_{i+j}+1)!=2|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|(\grave{s}_{i+j}+1)$. Moreover $<(\beta_q,\acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{n}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{n}},b)>=<(\acute{b}_{i+j}d^*,\acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{n}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{n}},\grave{b}_i\grave{b}_j d)>=(1-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{2})\frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$. Thus this subsume is $\sum\limits_{ij}(\grave{s}_{i+j}+1)\mathrm{H}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b\frac{\grave{b}_{i+j}}{\grave{b}_i\grave{b}_j})$. Let $b=\bar{b}_i\bar{b}_j d$ and $\beta_q=\grave{b}_{i+j-1}d^*$. Then $|\mathsf{Aut}(\beta_p)|=|\mathsf{Aut}(\acute{b}_{i+j-1}d)|=\frac{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}{2^{(\acute{s}_{i+j-1})}\acute{s}_{i+j-1}!}2^{(\acute{s}_{i+j-1}+1)}(\acute{s}_{i+j-1}+1)!=2|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|(\acute{s}_{i+j-1}+1)$.

Moreover $< (\beta_q, \acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{n}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{n}}, b) >= < (\grave{b}_{i+j-1}d^*, \acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{n}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{n}}, \bar{b}_i\bar{b}_jd) >= (1-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{2})\frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$. Thus this subsume is $\sum\limits_{ij}(\acute{s}_{i+j-1}+1)\textsc{h}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b\frac{\acute{b}_{i+j-1}}{\bar{b}_i\bar{b}_j})$.

□

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $b = \acute{b}_1^{\acute{s}_1}...\acute{b}_{\acute{n}}^{\acute{s}_{\acute{n}}}\grave{b}_1^{\grave{s}_1}...\grave{b}_{\grave{n}}^{\grave{s}_{\grave{n}}}\bar{b}_1^{\bar{s}_1}...\bar{b}_{\bar{n}}^{\bar{s}_{\bar{n}}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{s}_1}...\dot{b}_{\dot{n}}^{\dot{s}_{\dot{n}}}$. *Then* $\grave{\textsc{h}}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m}+1,b) = \sum\limits_i(\grave{s}_i+1)\textsc{h}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b\frac{\grave{b}_i}{\dot{b}_i})+ \sum\limits_{ij}(2(\bar{s}_i+1)(\grave{s}_j+1)\textsc{h}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b\frac{\bar{b}_i\grave{b}_j}{\bar{b}_{i+j}})+ 2((\grave{s}_i+1)(\grave{s}_j+1)+\delta_{ij}(\grave{s}_i+1))\textsc{h}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b\frac{\grave{b}_i\grave{b}_j}{\grave{b}_{i+j}})+ \frac{1}{2}((\bar{s}_i+1)(\bar{s}_j+1)+\delta_{ij}(\bar{s}_i+1))\textsc{h}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b\frac{\bar{b}_i\bar{b}_j}{\acute{b}_{i+j-1}}))$.

Proof. The proof of this lemma is the same that the previous , using the second part of lemma 2.1. If $(\beta_q, \grave{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{n}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{n}}, b) = (\acute{b}_id^*, \grave{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{m}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{m}}, \dot{b}_id)$, Then $|\mathsf{Aut}(\beta_p)| = |\mathsf{Aut}(\grave{b}_id)| = 2|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|(\grave{s}_i+1)$ and $< (\beta_q, \acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{n}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{n}}, b) >= < (\acute{b}_id^*, \grave{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{m}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{m}}, \dot{b}_id) >= \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$. Thus this subsume is $\sum\limits_i(\grave{s}_i+1)\textsc{h}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b\frac{\grave{b}_i}{\dot{b}_i})$. If $(\beta_q, \grave{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{n}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{n}}, b) = (\bar{b}_i\acute{b}_jd^*, \grave{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{m}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{m}}, \bar{b}_{i+j}d)$, then $|\mathsf{Aut}(\beta_p)| = |\mathsf{Aut}(\bar{b}_i\grave{b}_jd)| = 2|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|(\bar{s}_i+1)(\grave{s}_j+1)$ and $< (\beta_q, \acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{n}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{n}}, b) >= < (\bar{b}_i\acute{b}_jd^*, \grave{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{m}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{m}}, \bar{b}_{i+j}d) >= \frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$. Thus this subsume is $2\sum\limits_{ij}(\bar{s}_i+1)(\grave{s}_j+1)\textsc{h}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b\frac{\bar{b}_i\grave{b}_j}{\bar{b}_{i+j}})$. If $(\beta_q, \grave{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{n}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{n}}, b) = (\acute{b}_i\acute{b}_jd^*, \grave{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{m}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{m}}, \grave{b}_{i+j}d)$, then $|\mathsf{Aut}(\beta_p)| = |\mathsf{Aut}(\grave{b}_i\grave{b}_jd)| = 4|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|((\grave{s}_i+1)(\grave{s}_j+1)+\delta_{ij}(\grave{s}_i+1))$ and $< (\acute{b}_i\acute{b}_jd^*, \grave{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{m}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{m}}, \grave{b}_{i+j}d) >= (1-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{2})\frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$ Thus this subsume is $2\sum\limits_{ij}((\grave{s}_i+1)(\grave{s}_j+1)+\delta_{ij}(\grave{s}_i+1))\textsc{h}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b\frac{\grave{b}_i\grave{b}_j}{\grave{b}_{i+j}})$. If $(\beta_q, \grave{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{n}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{n}}, b) = (\bar{b}_i\bar{b}_jd^*, \grave{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{m}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{m}}, \acute{b}_{i+j-1}d)$, then $|\mathsf{Aut}(\beta_p)| = |\mathsf{Aut}(\bar{b}_i\bar{b}_jd)| = |\mathsf{Aut}(d)|((\bar{s}_i+1)(\bar{s}_j+1)+\delta_{ij}(\bar{s}_i+1))$ and $< (\beta_q, \acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{n}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{n}}, b) >= < (\bar{b}_i\bar{b}_jd, \grave{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{m}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{m}}, \acute{b}_{i+j-1}d^*) >= (1-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{2})\frac{1}{|\mathsf{Aut}(d)|}$. Thus this subsume is $\frac{1}{2}\sum\limits_{ij}((\bar{s}_i+1)(\bar{s}_j+1)+\delta_{ij}(\bar{s}_i+1))\textsc{h}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b\frac{\bar{b}_i\bar{b}_j}{\acute{b}_{i+j-1}})$.

□

The previous lemmas give

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $b = \acute{b}_1^{\acute{s}_1}...\acute{b}_{\acute{n}}^{\acute{s}_{\acute{n}}}\grave{b}_1^{\grave{s}_1}...\grave{b}_{\grave{n}}^{\grave{s}_{\grave{n}}}\bar{b}_1^{\bar{s}_1}...\bar{b}_{\bar{n}}^{\bar{s}_{\bar{n}}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{s}_1}...\dot{b}_{\dot{n}}^{\dot{s}_{\dot{n}}}$. *Then* $\textsc{h}(m,\hat{m}+1,b) = \sum\limits_i\frac{i}{2}(\dot{s}_i+1)\textsc{h}(m,\hat{m},b\frac{\dot{b}_i}{\grave{b}_i})+ \sum\limits_{ij}((\bar{s}_{i+j}+1)\textsc{h}(m,\hat{m},b\frac{\bar{b}_{i+j}}{\bar{b}_i\grave{b}_j})+ (\grave{s}_{i+j}+1)\textsc{h}(m,\hat{m},b\frac{\grave{b}_{i+j}}{\grave{b}_i\grave{b}_j})+ (\acute{s}_{i+j-1}+1)\textsc{h}(m,\hat{m},b\frac{\acute{b}_{i+j-1}}{\bar{b}_i\bar{b}_j}))+ \sum\limits_i(\grave{s}_i+1)\textsc{h}(m,\hat{m},b\frac{\grave{b}_i}{\dot{b}_i})+ \sum\limits_{ij}(2(\bar{s}_i+1)(\grave{s}_j+1)\textsc{h}(m,\hat{m},b\frac{\bar{b}_i\grave{b}_j}{\bar{b}_{i+j}})+ 2((\grave{s}_i+1)(\grave{s}_j+1)+\delta_{ij}(\grave{s}_i+1))\textsc{h}(m,\hat{m},b\frac{\grave{b}_i\grave{b}_j}{\grave{b}_{i+j}})+ \frac{1}{2}((\bar{s}_i+1)(\bar{s}_j+1)+\delta_{ij}(\bar{s}_i+1))\textsc{h}(m,\hat{m},b\frac{\bar{b}_i\bar{b}_j}{\acute{b}_{i+j-1}}))$.

Analogical theorem for interior points is

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $b = \acute{b}_1^{\acute{s}_1}...\acute{b}_{\acute{n}}^{\acute{s}_{\acute{n}}}\grave{b}_1^{\grave{s}_1}...\grave{b}_{\grave{n}}^{\grave{s}_{\grave{n}}}\bar{b}_1^{\bar{s}_1}...\bar{b}_{\bar{n}}^{\bar{s}_{\bar{n}}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{s}_1}...\dot{b}_{\dot{n}}^{\dot{s}_{\dot{n}}}$.
Then $\textsc{h}(m+1,\hat{m},b) =$
$\sum\limits_{ij}(\frac{i+j}{2}(\dot{s}_{i+j}+1)\textsc{h}(m,\hat{m},b\frac{\dot{b}_{i+j}}{\dot{b}_i\dot{b}_j})+ \frac{ij}{2}((\dot{s}_i+1)(\dot{s}_j+1)+\delta_{ij}(\dot{s}_i+1))\textsc{h}(m,\hat{m},b\frac{\dot{b}_i\dot{b}_j}{\dot{b}_{i+j}})+ |\bar{b}_j|(\bar{s}_{i+j}+1)\textsc{h}(m,\hat{m},b\frac{\bar{b}_{i+j}}{\dot{b}_i\bar{b}_j})+ i|\bar{b}_j|(\dot{s}_i+1)(\bar{s}_j+1)\textsc{h}(m,\hat{m},b\frac{\dot{b}_i\bar{b}_j}{\bar{b}_{i+j}})+$

$$2|\hat{b}_j|(\acute{s}_{i+j} + 1)\textsc{h}(m,\acute{m},\acute{m},b\tfrac{\acute{b}_{i+j}}{\dot{b}_i\acute{b}_j})+ 2i|\hat{b}_j|(\dot{s}_i + 1)(\acute{s}_j + 1)\textsc{h}(m,\acute{m},\acute{m},b\tfrac{\dot{b}_i\acute{b}_j}{\acute{b}_{i+j}})+$$
$$2|\hat{b}_j|(\grave{s}_{i+j} + 1)\textsc{h}(m,\hat{m},b\tfrac{\grave{b}_{i+j}}{\dot{b}_i\grave{b}_j})+ 2i|\hat{b}_j|(\dot{s}_i + 1)(\grave{s}_j + 1)\textsc{h}(m,\hat{m},b\tfrac{\dot{b}_i\grave{b}_j}{\grave{b}_{i+j}}))+$$
$$\sum_{i+j=k+l}(2|\bar{b}_i\hat{b}_j\bar{b}_k\hat{b}_l|(\bar{s}_i+1)(\acute{s}_j+1)\textsc{h}(m,\hat{m},b\tfrac{\bar{b}_i\acute{b}_j}{\bar{b}_k\acute{b}_l})+ 2|\bar{b}_i\hat{b}_j\bar{b}_k\hat{b}_l|(\bar{s}_i+1)(\grave{s}_j+1)\textsc{h}(m,\hat{m},b\tfrac{\bar{b}_i\grave{b}_j}{\bar{b}_k\grave{b}_l}))+$$
$$\sum_{i+j+1=k+l}2(1+\delta_{kl})|\hat{b}_i\hat{b}_j\bar{b}_k\bar{b}_l|(\acute{s}_i + 1)(\grave{s}_j + 1)\textsc{h}(m,\hat{m},b\tfrac{\acute{b}_i\grave{b}_j}{\bar{b}_k\bar{b}_l})+$$
$$\sum_{i+j=k+l+1}\tfrac{1}{2}(1+\delta_{ij})|\bar{b}_i\bar{b}_j\hat{b}_k\hat{b}_l|((\bar{s}_i + 1)(\bar{s}_j + 1) + \delta_{ij}(\bar{s}_i + 1))\textsc{h}(m,\hat{m},b\tfrac{\bar{b}_i\bar{b}_j}{\acute{b}_k\grave{b}_l})+$$
$$\sum_{i+j=k+l}(1+\delta_{ij})(1+\delta_{kl})\ (\tfrac{1}{4}|\bar{b}_i\bar{b}_j\bar{b}_k\bar{b}_l|((\bar{s}_i + 1)(\bar{s}_j + 1) + \delta_{ij}(\bar{s}_i + 1))\textsc{h}(m,\hat{m},b\tfrac{\bar{b}_i\bar{b}_j}{\bar{b}_k\bar{b}_l})+$$
$$|\hat{b}_i\hat{b}_j\hat{b}_k\hat{b}_l|((\acute{s}_i + 1)(\acute{s}_j + 1) + \delta_{ij}(\acute{s}_i + 1))\textsc{h}(m,\hat{m},b\tfrac{\acute{b}_i\acute{b}_j}{\acute{b}_k\acute{b}_l})+$$
$$|\hat{b}_i\hat{b}_j\hat{b}_k\hat{b}_l|((\grave{s}_i + 1)(\grave{s}_j + 1) + \delta_{ij}(\grave{s}_i + 1))\textsc{h}(m,\hat{m},b\tfrac{\grave{b}_i\grave{b}_j}{\grave{b}_k\grave{b}_l})),$$

Proof. Consider a covering $\varphi : \Omega \to D$ from $\acute{\mathcal{H}}(m+1,\hat{m},b)$. Denote by y and x the special critical point and an interior critical point respectively. Collapse to a point y_l a segment $l \in D$ with ends on ∂D, that separate the points y, x from other critical values. Then we have two disks D' and D'' and two coverings $\varphi' : \Omega' \to D'$, $\varphi'' : \Omega'' \to D''$. The critical values of φ' are y_l, y and x. Comparing the disks D and D'' we see, that $\varphi'' \in \mathcal{H}(m,\hat{m},c)$ for some monomial c. Thus, according to [1], $\acute{H}(m+1,\hat{m},b) = \sum\limits_{pq} H(m,\hat{m},\beta_p) > F^{pq} < (\beta_q, \acute{b}_1\bar{b}_1^{\bar{n}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{n}}, b >$.

It is follow from lemma 2.2 that the sum from the right parte decompose on 15 subsumes. Any subsume is calculated similar as in lemmas 3.1 and 3.2.

□

Theorems 3.1, 3.2 give an algorithm for calculation of all Hurwitz numbers $\textsc{h}(m,\hat{m},b)$, starting from $\textsc{h}(0,0,b)$.

4. DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS ON GENERATING THE FUNCTION.

Consider the algebra of formal power series, generated by commutative variables $\acute{p}_i, \grave{p}_i, \bar{p}_i, \dot{p}_i$. Correspond the monomial $p_b = \acute{p}_1^{\acute{s}_1}...\acute{p}_{\acute{n}}^{\acute{s}_{\acute{n}}}\grave{p}_1^{\grave{s}_1}...\grave{p}_{\grave{n}}^{\grave{s}_{\grave{n}}}\bar{p}_1^{\bar{s}_1}...\bar{p}_{\bar{n}}^{\bar{s}_{\bar{n}}}\dot{p}_1^{\dot{s}_1}...\dot{p}_{\dot{n}}^{\dot{s}_{\dot{n}}}$ to monomial $b = \acute{b}_1^{\acute{s}_1}...\acute{b}_{\acute{n}}^{\acute{s}_{\acute{n}}}\grave{b}_1^{\grave{s}_1}...\grave{b}_{\grave{n}}^{\grave{s}_{\grave{n}}}\bar{b}_1^{\bar{s}_1}...\bar{b}_{\bar{n}}^{\bar{s}_{\bar{n}}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{s}_1}...\dot{b}_{\dot{n}}^{\dot{s}_{\dot{n}}}$.

Consider the generating function

$$\grave{H}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma|\acute{p}_1,\grave{p}_1,\bar{p}_1,\dot{p}_1,\acute{p}_2,...) = \sum_{m,\acute{m},\grave{m}\geq 0}\frac{\alpha^m}{m!}\frac{\beta^{\acute{m}}}{\acute{m}!}\frac{\gamma^{\grave{m}}}{\grave{m}!}\sum_b \grave{\textsc{h}}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b)p_b,$$

where the second sum is the sum by all monomial b. In particulary,$\acute{H}(0,0|...) = \grave{H}(0,0|...) = \exp(\bar{p}_1 + \frac{\dot{p}_1}{2})$. Put $H = \acute{H} + \grave{H}$

Theorem 4.1.

$$\frac{\partial\acute{H}}{\partial\beta} = L_\beta H,$$

где

$$L_\beta = \sum_i i\grave{p}_i\frac{\partial}{\partial\dot{p}_i} + \sum_{ij}(\bar{p}_i\grave{p}_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{p}_{i+j}} + \grave{p}_i\grave{p}_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\grave{p}_{i+j}} + \bar{p}_i\bar{p}_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\acute{p}_{i+j-1}})$$

Proof. Let $b = \acute{b}_1^{\acute{s}_1}...\acute{b}_{\acute{n}}^{\acute{s}_{\acute{n}}}\grave{b}_1^{\grave{s}_1}...\grave{b}_{\grave{n}}^{\grave{s}_{\grave{n}}}\bar{b}_1^{\bar{s}_1}...\bar{b}_{\bar{n}}^{\bar{s}_{\bar{n}}}\dot{b}_1^{\dot{s}_1}...\dot{b}_{\dot{n}}^{\dot{s}_{\dot{n}}}$, where $\acute{n} = \acute{n}(b), \acute{s}_i = \acute{s}_i(b)$, $\grave{n} = \grave{n}(b), \grave{s}_i = \grave{s}_i(b)$ $\bar{n} = \bar{n}(b), \bar{s}_i = \bar{s}_i(b)$ $\dot{n} = \dot{n}(b), \dot{s}_i = \dot{s}_i(b)$.

It is follow from theorem 3.1 that
$\frac{\partial \acute{H}}{\partial \beta} = \sum_{m,\acute{m},\grave{m}\geq 0} \frac{\alpha^m}{m!}\frac{\beta^{\acute{m}}}{\acute{m}!}\frac{\gamma^{\grave{m}}}{\grave{m}!}\sum_b \acute{\mathrm{H}}(m,\acute{m}+1,\grave{m},b)p_b =$
$\sum_{m,\acute{m},\grave{m}\geq 0} \frac{\alpha^m}{m!}\frac{\beta^{\acute{m}}}{\acute{m}!}\frac{\gamma^{\grave{m}}}{\grave{m}!}\sum_b \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i(\dot{s}_i+1)\mathrm{H}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b\frac{\dot{b}_i}{\grave{b}_i})p_b +$
$\sum_{m,\acute{m},\grave{m}\geq 0} \frac{\alpha^m}{m!}\frac{\beta^{\acute{m}}}{\acute{m}!}\frac{\gamma^{\grave{m}}}{\grave{m}!}\sum_b \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(\bar{s}_{i+j}+1)\mathrm{H}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b\frac{\bar{b}_{i+j}}{\bar{b}_i\grave{b}_j})p_b +$
$\sum_{m,\acute{m},\grave{m}\geq 0} \frac{\alpha^m}{m!}\frac{\beta^{\acute{m}}}{\acute{m}!}\frac{\gamma^{\grave{m}}}{\grave{m}!}\sum_b \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(\grave{s}_{i+j}+1)\mathrm{H}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b\frac{\grave{b}_{i+j}}{\grave{b}_i\grave{b}_j})p_b +$
$\sum_{m,\acute{m},\grave{m}\geq 0} \frac{\alpha^m}{m!}\frac{\beta^{\acute{m}}}{\acute{m}!}\frac{\gamma^{\grave{m}}}{\grave{m}!}\sum_b \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(\acute{s}_{i+j-1}+1)\mathrm{H}(m,\acute{m},\grave{m},b\frac{\acute{b}_{i+j-1}}{\bar{b}_i\bar{b}_j})p_b$

Make the changing $c = b\frac{\dot{b}_i}{\grave{b}_i}$ in the first summand. Then $p_c = p_b\frac{\dot{p}_i}{\grave{p}_i}$ and $p_b = p_c\frac{\grave{p}_i}{\dot{p}_i} = \grave{p}_i\frac{\partial p_c}{\partial \dot{p}_i}(\dot{s}_i + 1)^{-1}$. Thus $\sum_b\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{i}{2}(\dot{s}_i + 1)\mathrm{H}(m,\hat{m},b\frac{\dot{b}_i}{\grave{b}_i})p_b = \sum_c\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{i}{2}\mathrm{H}(m,\hat{m},a)\grave{p}_i\frac{\partial p_c}{\partial \dot{p}_i}$, where the sum is given by all monomial c.

Make the changing $c = b\frac{\bar{b}_{i+j}}{\bar{b}_i\grave{b}_j}$ in the second summand. Then $p_c = p_b\frac{\bar{p}_{i+j}}{\bar{p}_i\grave{p}_j}$ and $p_b = p_c\frac{\bar{p}_i\grave{p}_j}{\bar{p}_{i+j}} = \bar{p}_i\grave{p}_j\frac{\partial p_c}{\partial \bar{p}_{i+j}}(\bar{s}_{i+j} + 1)^{-1}$. Thus $\sum_b\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(\bar{s}_{i+j}+1)\mathrm{H}(m,\hat{m},b\frac{\bar{b}_{i+j}}{\bar{b}_i\grave{b}_j})p_b = \sum_c\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\mathrm{H}(m,\hat{m},c)\bar{p}_i\grave{p}_j\frac{\partial p_c}{\partial \bar{p}_{i+j}}$.

Analogically, $\sum_b\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(\grave{s}_{i+j} + 1)\mathrm{H}(m,\hat{m},b\frac{\grave{b}_{i+j}}{\grave{b}_i\grave{b}_j})p_b = \sum_c\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\mathrm{H}(m,\hat{m},c)\grave{p}_i\grave{p}_j\frac{\partial p_c}{\partial \grave{p}_{i+j}}$ and $\sum_b\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(\acute{s}_{i+j-1} + 1)\mathrm{H}(m,\hat{m},b\frac{\acute{b}_{i+j-1}}{\bar{b}_i\bar{b}_j})p_b = \sum_c\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\mathrm{H}(m,\hat{m},c)\bar{p}_i\bar{p}_j\frac{\partial p_c}{\partial \acute{p}_{i+j-1}}$. Thus $\frac{\partial \acute{H}}{\partial \beta} = L_\beta H$.
□

Analogically, using lemma 3.2 instead lemma 3.1 we prove

Theorem 4.2.

$$\frac{\partial \grave{H}}{\partial \gamma} = L_\gamma H,$$

где

$$L_\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\dot{p}_i\frac{\partial}{\partial \grave{p}_i} + \sum_{ij}(2\bar{p}_{i+j}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{p}_i\partial \grave{p}_j} + 2\grave{p}_{i+j}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \grave{p}_i\partial \grave{p}_j} + \frac{1}{2}\acute{p}_{i+j-1}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{p}_i\partial \bar{p}_j}).$$

The last theorem of the paper is

Theorem 4.3.

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial \alpha} = L_\alpha H,$$

where

$$L_\alpha = \sum_{ij}(\frac{i+j}{2}\dot{p}_i\dot{p}_j\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{p}_{i+j}} + \frac{ij}{2}\dot{p}_{i+j}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \dot{p}_i\partial \dot{p}_j} + |\bar{b}_j|\dot{p}_i\bar{p}_j\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{p}_{i+j}} + i|\bar{b}_j|\bar{p}_{i+j}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \dot{p}_i\partial \bar{p}_j} +$$

$$2|\hat{b}_j|\dot{p}_i\acute{p}_j\frac{\partial}{\partial \acute{p}_{i+j}} + 2i|\hat{b}_j|\acute{p}_{i+j}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \dot{p}_i\partial \acute{p}_j} + 2|\hat{b}_j|\dot{p}_i\grave{p}_j\frac{\partial}{\partial \grave{p}_{i+j}} + 2i|\hat{b}_j|\grave{p}_{i+j}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \dot{p}_i\partial \grave{p}_j}) +$$

$$\sum_{i+j=k+l} 2(|\bar{b}_i\hat{b}_j\bar{b}_k\hat{b}_l|\bar{p}_k\acute{p}_l\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{p}_i\partial \acute{p}_j} + |\bar{b}_i\hat{b}_j\bar{b}_k\hat{b}_l|\bar{p}_k\grave{p}_l\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{p}_i\partial \grave{p}_j}) +$$

$$\sum_{i+j+1=k+l} 2(1+\delta_{kl})|\hat{b}_i\hat{b}_j\bar{b}_k\bar{b}_l|\bar{p}_k\bar{p}_l\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\acute{p}_i\partial\grave{p}_j} + \sum_{i+j=k+l+1}\frac{1}{2}(1+\delta_{ij})|\bar{b}_i\bar{b}_j\hat{b}_k\hat{b}_l|\acute{p}_k\grave{p}_l\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\bar{p}_i\partial\bar{p}_j}+$$

$$\sum_{i+j=k+l}(1+\delta_{ij})(1+\delta_{kl})(\frac{1}{4}|\bar{b}_i\bar{b}_j\bar{b}_k\bar{b}_l|\bar{p}_k\bar{p}_l\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\bar{p}_i\partial\bar{p}_j} + |\hat{b}_i\hat{b}_j\hat{b}_k\hat{b}_l|\grave{p}_k\grave{p}_l\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\grave{p}_i\partial\grave{p}_j} + |\hat{b}_i\hat{b}_j\hat{b}_k\hat{b}_l|\acute{p}_k\acute{p}_l\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\acute{p}_i\partial\acute{p}_j})$$

The proof use theorem 3.2 and is given by the same method that the proof of theorem 4.1.

REFERENCES

[1] Alexeevski A., Natanzon S., Noncommutative two-dimensional topological field theories and Hurwitz numbers for real algebraic curves. Selecta Math., New ser. v.12,n.3, 2006, p. 307-377 (arXiv: math.GT/0202164).

[2] Dijkgraaf R., Mirror symmetry and elliptic curves, The moduli spaces of curves, Progress in Math., 129 (1995), 149-163, Brikhäuser.

[3] Goulden D., Jackson D.M., Vainshtein A., The number of ramifified coverings of the sphere by torus and surfaces of higher genera, Ann. of Comb. 4(2000), 27-46, Brikhäuser.

[4] Ekhidal T., Lando S., Shapiro M., Vainshtein A., Hurvitz naumbers and intersections on moduli spaces of curves, Invent.Math.146(2001),297-327

[5] Hurwitz A., Über Riemann'sche Flächen mit gegeben Verzweigungspunkten, Math., Ann., Bn.39 (1891), 1-61.

[6] Zhou J., Hodge integrals Hurvitz naumbers and symmetric groiups. (arXiv: math.AG/0308024).